UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,419	12/03/2003	Satoshi Egawa	117183	8787
25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 04/16/200 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 320850			RILEY, MARCUS T	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/725,419	EGAWA ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	MARCUS T. RILEY	2625				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Marcus T. Riley.	(3) <u>Jon Backenstose Esq.,</u>	Reg. No. 47,399 <u>,</u> .				
(2) <u>Twyler L Haskins</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>19 March 2008</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-36</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Bruce (US 6,678,064 B2 and Yellepeddy (US 6,288,790).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative presentated his position on the claimed invention. Ways to overcome the prior art were discussed and the examiner will formally consider the proposed position of the applicant by taking a more indebt look at the prior art.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
-	/Marcus T Riley/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625  Examiner's signature, if requi	red				